

Separation of noncommutative differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space

Fabian Bachmaier¹, Christian Blohmann^{2,3}

¹Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics
Universität München, Fakultät für Physik
Theresienstr. 37, 80333 München, Germany

²Department of Mathematics, University of California
Berkeley, California 94720-3840

³International University Bremen, School of Engineering and Science,
Campus Ring 1, 28759 Bremen, Germany

Abstract

Noncommutative differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space is not separated with respect to the standard generators, in the sense that partial derivatives of functions of a single generator can depend on all other generators. It is shown that this problem can be overcome by a separation of variables. We study the action of the universal L -matrix, appearing in the coproduct of partial derivatives, on generators. Powers of the resulting quantum Minkowski algebra valued matrices are calculated. This leads to a nonlinear coordinate transformation which essentially separates the calculus. A compact formula for general derivatives is obtained in form of a chain rule with partial Jackson derivatives. It is applied to the massive quantum Klein-Gordon equation by reducing it to an ordinary q -difference equation. The rest state solution can be expressed in terms of a product of q -exponential functions in the separated variables.

1 Introduction

1.1 Quantum theory on noncommutative space time

Much of the development of quantum theories on noncommutative space-times was and still is driven by the question whether noncommutative geometry might lead to an ultra-violet regularization of quantum field theory, as it was suggested by Heisenberg as early as 1938 [1]. For the simplest conceivable examples of noncommutative geometries, where the commutator of the coordinates is constant, these hopes for regularization were met with disappointment. Such theories exhibit a mixing of ultra-violet and infra-red cutoff scales [2, 3], which has been understood recently on the level of renormalization [4]. For that reason these simple noncommutative spaces, while interesting objects on their own, hardly seem to improve the divergent behavior of quantum field theory at all. The natural next step is to turn again to more complicated noncommutative spaces, such as quantum spaces with Lie type or homogeneous commutation relations.

Quantum Minkowski space is one of the most realistic examples of a quantum deformation of space-time [5] with a rich and fairly well understood mathematical structure: It is four dimensional in the sense that it is generated as algebra by four coordinates such that the ordered monomials are a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis. The time coordinate is central, which is important for a causal interpretation of quantum theory [6]. By construction, it is a module algebra with respect to the quantum Poincaré algebra [7], so it has a well defined quantum symmetry structure [8]. The action of the generators of the inhomogeneous part of the quantum Poincaré algebra, the momenta, on quantum Minkowski space induces a first order covariant differential calculus [9].

With these key mathematical structures present, much of the construction of quantum theory on commutative space-time was mimicked within an algebraic and representation theoretic approach. Sections of noncommutative space-time were given by spectra of noncommutative coordinates, which yield discrete space-time lattices [10]. Free elementary particles were constructed as irreducible representations of the quantum Poincaré algebra, including Wigner representations of spin [11]. The free particles were shown to obey quantum wave equations which project reducible quantum Lorentz spinor representations on their irreducible subrepresentations [12]. The coupling of free fields to gauge fields was studied systematically within noncommutative

gauge theory [13, 14]. Quantum statistics of the tensor representation of a second quantized free theory was studied within the framework of braided tensor categories [15] using Drinfeld twists [16]. Only a small selection of the numerous contributions to this program can be cited here. At the time of this writing, most aspects of free quantum theory on quantum Minkowski space are understood, and the construction of interaction terms on the level of single particle field equations is known. What is not known is how to solve these field equations by noncommutative wave functions, not even for the free case. However, this will be indispensable if free particles are to be coupled by multiplying their noncommutative wave functions within the algebra of quantum space-time.

We remark, that there are other approaches which involve wave equations on noncommutative space-time which are inequivalent to the ones considered here: In [17] covariance arguments have been used to find wave equations for a certain deformation of the conformal symmetry algebra, which does not contain the q -Poincaré algebra we consider here. In order to construct q -deformed relativistic wave equations various other methods have been proposed, based on q -Clifford algebras [18], q -deformed co-spinors [19], or various abstract differential calculi on quantum spaces [20, 21, 22], each leading to different results.

1.2 Noncommutative differential calculus

The free wave equations on quantum Minkowski space can be determined uniquely on a purely representation theoretic level. To give the simplest example: Just as in the undeformed case, the momentum square $p_\mu p^\mu$ is central within the quantum Poincaré algebra. Therefore, it must be represented within the irreducible representation corresponding to a free particle by a multiple of the identity operator,

$$p_\mu p^\mu = m^2, \tag{1}$$

m^2 being the square of the particle's mass. This line of reasoning is purely representation theoretic and does not depend in any way on how the momenta act on noncommutative wave functions, that is, on elements of quantum Minkowski space.

In order to interpret Eq. (1) as Klein-Gordon equation on noncommutative space-time we have to let the momenta act on noncommutative wave

functions. Momenta then correspond to partial derivatives, $p^\mu = i\partial^\mu$, defined by the noncommutative first order differential calculus. This yields a wave equation given by a noncommutative partial differential equation,

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \triangleright \psi = -m^2 \psi, \quad (2)$$

where ψ is an element of the algebra of quantum Minkowski space. There is a considerable amount on literature on first order differential calculi on noncommutative algebras, mostly on quantum groups [23] and to some extent on homogeneous quantum spaces [24, 25]. Most mathematical work has concentrated on the construction, structural analysis, and classification of differential calculi. However, hardly any literature on the subject has dealt with concrete calculations within these differential calculi. On quantum Minkowski space a differential calculus has been known for some time, and can be deduced most elegantly from the coproduct of momenta [9]. The coproduct defines the action of partial derivatives on noncommutative functions recursively. While the recursion relations can be used to expand the derivatives in terms of nested summations and partition functions, as it was carried out in a very detailed manner in [26], this does not solve the main computational problem which arises with wave equations like Eq. (2).

Trying to solve Eq. (2) by a brute force calculation with a general ansatz for the wave function ought to work in principle, but turns out to run into considerable computational complexity. Moreover, the solutions thus obtained in terms of recursion relations do not give much of structural insight in the solutions which, after all, are the noncommutative counterpart of something as simple and basic as plane waves. Why is this computation so difficult? It can be shown that just as in the commutative case the space of solutions of the massive Klein-Gordon Eq. (2) is generated, as representation of the quantum Poincaré algebra, by a rest state, which satisfies [12]

$$\partial^0 \triangleright \psi = im\psi, \quad \partial^A \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad (3)$$

where A is a three vector index of spatial coordinates. In the commutative case we could now infer that ψ is a function of the time coordinate x_0 alone, thus reducing Eq. (3) to an ordinary differential equation in one coordinate x_0 . Not so in the noncommutative case, where the partial derivatives of a function in x_0 depend on all coordinates x^μ , which makes the solution of Eq. (3) so involved. In analogy to partial differential equations in non-cartesian coordinates we will describe this mixing of dependencies by saying

that within the noncommutative calculus the standard variables are not separated.

It is now obvious to ask, whether new variables can be found which separate the differential calculus, in such a way that Eq. (3) becomes easily solvable. The main purpose of this paper is to show that this question has a positive answer.

1.3 Structure, main results, notation

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 the structure of the differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space is reviewed. We recall the commutation relations, general structure of the quantum Lorentz algebra, universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix, and the definition of the coproduct of momenta in order to make this paper self contained and fix conventions and notation unambiguously.

Sec. 3 contains the bulk of the paper: the computation of derivatives of arbitrary elements in the quantum Minkowski algebra. We first develop a notation and calculus in order to deal with functions of the algebra valued 4×4 -matrices which come from the action of the universal L -matrices appearing in the definition of the coproduct of momenta. The computation problem is here the calculation of powers of algebra valued matrices, which can then used to calculate the derivatives of arbitrary functions of the noncommutative coordinates. We do this calculation in two steps: In the first step we deal with polynomials of the non-central variables. The main result is in Proposition 1, Eqs. (69) and can be written in the concise form of a chain rule, where the outer derivatives are partial Jackson derivatives. In the second step we calculate derivatives of functions of the central coordinates, time and four length. The partial derivatives of functions in the time generator are complicated and depend on all other generators. However, we are able to give a noncommutative coordinate transformation such that the derivatives of functions in the central generators are disentangled and take the form of a chain rule, too. This is the main result of the paper, presented in Proposition 3, Eq. (97). The results of these two steps can be combined to yield a compact formula for the derivatives of arbitrary elements of the algebra, given in Eq. (101).

In Sec. 4 we apply the formulas for the derivations in order to the initial problem of finding the momentum eigenstate solutions of the massless and massive quantum Klein-Gordon equation, viewed as differential equations within the noncommutative differential calculus. We show that the separa-

tion of variables of the preceding section separates the differential equations completely. This reduces the partial differential equations to single variable equations involving Jackson derivatives, which are easily solved. The solutions are given in Eqs. (110) and (117) in terms of q -exponential functions.

In Sec. 5 we assess the results, give an outlook and references to further work in this direction of this paper.

Appendix A finally contains a few more technical results which are used in the paper. This makes this paper reasonably self-contained and will be useful for a reader who wishes to reproduce the calculations in detail.

Notation: Throughout the paper q denotes a real deformation parameter, such that for $q \rightarrow 1$ we retrieve the undeformed, commutative limit. We will often use the abbreviations $\lambda := q - q^{-1}$ and symmetrized and standard quantum numbers

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (n)_{q^2} = \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q^2 - 1}, \quad (4)$$

where n is a natural number. Repeated upper and lower indices are summed over $x_\mu x^\mu \equiv \sum_\mu x_\mu x^\mu$.

2 Differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space

2.1 Quantum Minkowski space

Quantum Minkowski space has first been constructed as braided product of two copies of the quantum plane [5]. We will need the definition in terms of generators which are decomposed into the scalar time coordinate and the three vector of space coordinates.

Definition 1. *The complex algebra generated by the four generators x_0 , x_- , x_+ , and x_3 , divided by the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} x_- x_0 &= x_0 x_-, & x_+ x_0 &= x_0 x_+, & x_3 x_0 &= x_0 x_3, \\ q^{-1} x_- x_3 - q x_3 x_- &= -\lambda x_- x_0, & q^{-1} x_3 x_+ - q x_+ x_3 &= -\lambda x_+ x_0, \\ x_- x_+ - x_+ x_- - \lambda x_3 x_3 &= -\lambda x_3 x_0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

is called the algebra of quantum Minkowski space, denoted by $\mathcal{X}$.

Here, x_0 is the time coordinate, while x_- , x_+ , and x_3 are the space coordinates with $\mathfrak{su}_2$ -weight indices. The commutation relations (5) can be written in more sophisticated forms, e.g., in terms of q -Clebsch-Gordon coefficients or in terms of an R -matrix as we will recall in the next section.

The center of $\mathcal{X}$ is generated by x_0 and the four-square

$$x^2 := (x_0)^2 + q^{-1}x_-x_+ + qx_+x_- - (x_3)^2. \quad (6)$$

Since we will not use 2 as index, x^2 cannot be confused with any one of the coordinates. The four-square can also be written as

$$x^2 = x_\mu x_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu} \quad (7)$$

in terms of the q -Minkowski metric defined by

$$\eta^{00} = 1 \quad \eta^{-+} = q^{-1}, \quad \eta^{+-} = q \quad \eta^{33} = -1, \quad (8)$$

all other components vanishing. The metric defines upper four-vector indices by

$$x^\mu := \eta^{\mu\nu} x_\nu. \quad (9)$$

Note, that $x^2 = x_\mu x^\mu$ but $x^2 \neq x^\mu x_\mu$ because $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is not symmetric.

2.2 Quantum Lorentz algebra

By construction $\mathcal{X}$ is a module algebra with respect to the quantum Lorentz algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$. There are several essentially isomorphic forms of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ in use [27, 9, 28, 29]. In the chiral decomposition form $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is given, as algebra, by the tensor square of quantum $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{su}_2)$,

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2). \quad (10)$$

The definition of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is recalled in Appendix A. The chiral decomposition implies that the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ can be labelled by the highest weights of the two tensor factors. For example, the structure morphism of the four-vector representation is given on this chiral form by

$$\rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} := \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (11)$$

where $\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the fundamental representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ with highest weight or spin $j = \frac{1}{2}$.

The quantum Lorentz algebra is quasi-triangular. In the chiral form (10) the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ can be expressed in terms of the well known universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. There are two inequivalent universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrices [15],

$$\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{R}_{41}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{31}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{24} \mathcal{R}_{23}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{II} = \mathcal{R}_{41}^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{24} \mathcal{R}_{23}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ given in Eq. (124), and where we have used the tensor leg notation $\mathcal{R}_{23} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes 1$ etc. The four-vector representations of the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrices are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} R_I^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\tau} &:= (\rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} \otimes \rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})})(\mathcal{R}_I)^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\tau}, \\ R_{II}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\tau} &:= (\rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} \otimes \rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})})(\mathcal{R}_{II})^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

With the R -matrices the commutation relations (5) can be written in the compact form

$$x_\sigma x_\tau = x_\mu x_\nu R_I^{\mu\nu}{}_{\tau\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

This implies that the $\mathcal{X}$ -algebra has the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt property, because the R -matrices satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation. From the decomposition of the R -matrices into eigenspaces we can, furthermore, derive the relation

$$(1 + q\hat{R}_{II})(1 - \hat{R}_I) = 0, \quad (15)$$

where the hat denotes swapping the two upper indices $\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\tau\sigma} = R^{\nu\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}$. We will need this formula later.

The disadvantage of the chiral form (10) is that the inner tensor factors of the coproduct are twisted with the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$. Since the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix is given by an infinite series, which exists only as formal power series, some of the generators of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ have a complicated coproduct which then exists only as formal power series, too. Therefore, it is also convenient to use the Drinfeld double form [30], where $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is represented as Drinfeld double of the Hopf-dually paired $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and $SU_q(2)^{\text{op}}$.

2.3 Noncommutative differential calculus

The generators of q -momenta are required to transform as four-vector with respect to q -Lorentz transformations. The fact that q -momenta transform in the same way as the coordinate generators of q -Minkowski space implies

that they have to satisfy the same commutation relations as well. This leads to the definition of the q -momentum algebra as the algebra generated by p_0, p_-, p_+, p_3 with commutation relations (5), replacing x with p everywhere.

Noncommutative partial derivatives

$$\partial_\mu := ip_\mu \quad (16)$$

are defined by the action of the momentum generators on the q -Minkowski algebra of space functions. Partial derivatives of the coordinates have to be dimensionless numbers from the base field of complex numbers (including the deformation parameter q). Just as in the undeformed case the only tensor in the base field with two four-vector indices is the metric. We conclude that the action of partial derivatives on coordinates is given by

$$\partial_\mu \triangleright x_\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial^\mu \triangleright x_\nu = \delta_\nu^\mu. \quad (17)$$

This action on the generators extends to the entire algebra of q -Minkowski space by the coproduct of the momenta [9],

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(p^\mu) &:= p^\mu \otimes 1 + (\kappa \otimes 1) \mathcal{R}_{\text{II}}^{-1}(1 \otimes p^\mu) \mathcal{R}_{\text{II}} \\ &= p^\mu \otimes 1 + \kappa L^\mu{}_\nu \otimes p^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here $\mathcal{R}_{\text{II}}$ is the second of the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrices of the q -Lorentz algebra given in Eq. (12). The L -matrix is the four-vector half-representation of this $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix

$$L^\mu{}_\nu := \mathcal{R}_{\text{II}[1]} \rho^{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} (\mathcal{R}_{\text{II}[2]})^\mu{}_\nu, \quad (19)$$

where we have used a Sweedler-like notation for $\mathcal{R}_{\text{II}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{II}[1]} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\text{II}[2]}$. Finally, κ is a group-like scaling operator,

$$p_\mu \kappa = q \kappa p_\mu, \quad x_\mu \kappa = q^{-1} \kappa x_\mu, \quad \Delta(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes \kappa. \quad (20)$$

The action (17) of partial q -derivatives together with the coproduct (18) defines a q -Lorentz-covariant differential calculus on q -Minkowski space. Letting p^μ act on $x_\nu f$, $f \in \mathcal{X}$, we obtain

$$p^\mu \triangleright (x_\nu f) = -i\delta_\nu^\mu f + q R_{\text{II}}^{\nu'\mu}{}_{\nu\mu'} x_{\nu'} (p^{\mu'} \triangleright f), \quad (21)$$

from which we can deduce the q -deformed Heisenberg commutation relations

$$p^\mu x_\nu - q R_{\text{II}}^{\nu'\mu}{}_{\nu\mu'} x_{\nu'} p^{\mu'} = -i\delta_\nu^\mu, \quad (22)$$

which are of the type originally introduced by Wess and Zumino [24].

In order to show that Eqs. (17) and (18) define a differential calculus which is well defined on the algebra $\mathcal{X}$, that is, which does not depend on the ordering of the coordinate generators, we have to check if the action of the momenta is consistent with commutation relations (5) defining $\mathcal{X}$. This is best done using the R -matrix form (14),

$$\begin{aligned} p^\lambda \triangleright (x_\sigma x_\tau - x_\mu x_\nu R_1^{\mu\nu}{}_{\tau\sigma}) &= (p^\lambda \triangleright x_\nu x_\mu)(\delta_\tau^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu - \hat{R}_1^{\nu\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}) \\ &= x_\rho (\delta_\nu^\lambda \delta_\mu^\rho + q \hat{R}_{11}^{\lambda\rho}{}_{\nu\mu})(\delta_\tau^\nu \delta_\sigma^\mu - \hat{R}_1^{\nu\mu}{}_{\tau\sigma}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where in the last step we have used Eq. (15).

In principle, the action (17) of the partial derivatives on generators together with the coproduct (18) of momenta defines the action of partial derivatives on arbitrary elements of quantum Minkowski space. Note, however, that this definition is recursive. It does not yield formulas for the partial derivatives of a basis of the noncommutative space algebra, such as the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of ordered monomials,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{PBW}} := \{(x_0)^{n_0} (x_-)^{n_-} (x_+)^{n_+} (x_3)^{n_3} \mid n_0, n_-, n_+, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (24)$$

To derive such a formula is one of the goals of the next section.

3 Computation in noncommutative calculus

3.1 L -matrix calculus

Let us first consider the simple case of a power of one single generator, $f = x_\alpha^n$, $\alpha \in \{0, -, +, 3\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the coproduct (18) we get by induction

$$\partial^\mu \triangleright x_\alpha^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k (L^\mu{}_\nu \triangleright x_\alpha^k) (\partial^\nu \triangleright x_\alpha) x_\alpha^{n-k-1}, \quad (25)$$

where the factor q^k comes from the action of the scaling operator (20),

$$\kappa \triangleright x_\alpha^k = \kappa_{(1)} x_\alpha^k S(\kappa_{(2)}) = \kappa x_\alpha^k \kappa^{-1} = q^k x_\alpha^k. \quad (26)$$

By construction, the L -matrix is a comultiplicative quantum matrix, $\Delta(L^\mu{}_\nu) = L^\mu{}_\sigma \otimes L^\sigma{}_\nu$. So we get for the action of L on a power

$$L^\mu{}_\nu \triangleright x_\alpha^k = (L^\mu{}_{\sigma_1} \triangleright x_\alpha) (L^{\sigma_1}{}_{\sigma_2} \triangleright x_\alpha) \cdots (L^{\sigma_{k-1}}{}_\nu \triangleright x_\alpha). \quad (27)$$

Since each $L^{\sigma_k}_{\sigma_{k+1}} \triangleright x_\alpha$ is an $\mathcal{X}$ -valued 4×4 -matrices, the right hand side is the matrix product of such matrices. This suggests to introduce an index-free notation:

Definition 2. Let L^μ_ν be the L -matrix defined in Eq. (19), ∂^μ the partial derivatives defined in (16), $f \in \mathcal{X}$ an element of the algebra of quantum Minkowski space. Then we denote by L_f the $\mathcal{X}$ -valued 4×4 -matrix and by ∇f the $\mathcal{X}$ -valued four-vector with entries

$$(L_f)^\mu_\nu := L^\mu_\nu \triangleright f \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla f)^\mu := \partial^\mu \triangleright f, \quad (28)$$

respectively.

To illustrate this, we have for example

$$(L_f^2 \nabla f)^\mu = (L^\mu_\nu \triangleright f)(L^\nu_\sigma \triangleright f)(\partial^\sigma \triangleright f). \quad (29)$$

In this index-free notation Eq. (27) is written as $L \triangleright x_\alpha^k = L_{x_\alpha}^k$, such that Eq. (25) becomes

$$\nabla x_\alpha^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k L_{x_\alpha}^k (\nabla x_\alpha) x_\alpha^{n-k-1}. \quad (30)$$

We recall that by definition (17) of the action of partial derivatives on the generators we have for the components of the gradient

$$(\nabla x_\alpha)^\mu = \partial^\mu \triangleright x_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\mu, \quad (31)$$

where $\mu, \alpha \in \{0, -, +, 3\}$.

The computationally difficult part of (30) is the evaluation of powers of the algebra-valued matrices L_{x_α} . For example, for $(L_{x_0})^\mu_\nu$ we get with respect to four-vector indices $\mu, \nu \in \{0, -, +, 3\}$

$$L_{x_0} = \frac{1}{[2]} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{[4]}{[2]}x_0 & q\lambda x_- & q\lambda x_+ & q\lambda x_3 \\ -\frac{\lambda}{q^2}x_+ & \frac{[4]}{[2]}x_0 - \frac{\lambda^2}{q}x_3 & 0 & \lambda x_+ \\ \lambda x_- & 0 & \frac{[4]}{[2]}x_0 - q\lambda^2 x_3 & -\lambda x_- \\ \frac{\lambda}{q}x_3 & -q\lambda x_- & \frac{\lambda}{q}x_+ & \frac{[4]}{[2]}x_0 - \lambda^2 x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Little is known about the calculation of powers of general algebra valued matrices. Since the entries of this matrix do not commute we cannot resort to the usual methods of linear algebra. And in a brute force approach the number of terms in $L_{x_0}^n$ which have to be reordered to the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt form (or any other ordering) increases exponentially with n .

3.2 Block decomposition of L -matrices

The computational problem of computing the powers of the $\mathcal{X}$ -valued L_{x_α} -matrices can be simplified by considering the L -matrix (19) not with respect to four-vector indices running through $\{0, -, +, 3\}$ as in Eq. (32), but with respect to pairs of indices of the two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representations of the chiral decomposition $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ of the q -Lorentz algebra, each labelled by the weights $\{-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\} = \{-, +\}$. We recall that the generators of quantum Minkowski space in this $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -spinor basis labelled by indices $\{--, -, +, ++\}$ are related to those in the four-vector basis by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{--} &= [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} x_- , \\ x_{-+} &= q^{\frac{1}{2}} (x_3 - x_0) , \\ x_{+-} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_3 + q^{\frac{3}{2}} x_0 , \\ x_{++} &= [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} x_+ . \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using formula (12) for the universal $\mathcal{R}_\Pi$ -matrix in terms of the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ and definition (19) of the L -matrix, we calculate the L -matrix with respect to the spinor basis,

$$\begin{aligned} (L)^{ij}_{kl} &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \rho^{\frac{1}{2}})(\mathcal{R}_\Pi)^{ij}_{kl} \\ &= (L_-^{\frac{1}{2}})^j_{j'} (L_+^{\frac{1}{2}})^i_{i'} \otimes (L_+^{\frac{1}{2}})^{j'}_l (L_+^{\frac{1}{2}})^{i'}_k \\ &= B^j_l (L_+^{\frac{1}{2}})^i_k , \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where all indices run through $\{-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\} = \{-, +\}$. Here $B = (B^j_l)$ is the matrix of “boosts” which generate the $SU_q(2)^{\text{op}}$ Hopf subalgebra of the Drinfeld double form of the q -Lorentz algebra [30], and $L_+^{1/2}$ the L_+ -matrix [25] of the $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ subalgebra of rotations,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} , \quad L_+^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} K^{-\frac{1}{2}} & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda K^{-\frac{1}{2}} E \\ 0 & K^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{35}$$

with respect to the $\{-, +\}$ basis. The explicit form of the boosts in the chiral decomposition of the q -Lorentz algebra is given in Eq. (125).

The decomposition (34) of the L -matrix into boosts and rotations yields a natural block matrix decomposition of the $\mathcal{X}$ -valued 4×4 -matrices L_{x_α} as

defined in Eq. (28). For the four-vector index α it turns out to be convenient to use instead of x_3 the light cone coordinate

$$x_{30} := x_3 - x_0, \quad (36)$$

which is essentially the x_{-+} -coordinate in the spinor basis (33) of quantum Minkowski space. And for the block decomposition it is convenient to define $\mathcal{X}$ -valued 2×2 -matrices by the action of the boosts

$$(B_f)^i_j := B^i_j \triangleright f, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (37)$$

using the same index-free notation as introduced in Eq. (28). Since B^i_j is a comultiplicative quantum matrix, $\Delta(B^i_j) = B^i_k \otimes B^k_j$, the matrices B_{x_α} satisfy the same commutation relations (5) as x_α . Explicitly, the B_{x_α} -matrices can be computed to

$$\begin{aligned} B_{x_0} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{[4]}{[2]^2}x_0 + \frac{\lambda}{q[2]}x_3 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_+ \\ -q^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_- & \frac{[4]}{[2]^2}x_0 - \frac{q\lambda}{[2]}x_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{x_-} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_- & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_{30} \\ 0 & x_- \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{x_+} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_+ & 0 \\ -q^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_{30} & x_+ \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{x_{30}} &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1}x_{30} & 0 \\ 0 & qx_{30} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

with respect to spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ indices running through $\{-, +\}$. In terms of these matrices the L_{x_α} -matrices can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0} &= \begin{pmatrix} B_{x_0} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{x_0} \end{pmatrix} \\ L_{x_-} &= \begin{pmatrix} qB_{x_-} & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{\frac{1}{2}}B_{x_3} \\ 0 & q^{-1}B_{x_-} \end{pmatrix} \\ L_{x_+} &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1}B_{x_+} & 0 \\ 0 & qB_{x_+} \end{pmatrix} \\ L_{x_{30}} &= \begin{pmatrix} B_{x_{30}} & q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda[2]^{\frac{1}{2}}B_{x_+} \\ 0 & B_{x_{30}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with respect to the index structure $\{--, -+, +-, ++\}$.

3.3 Calculating powers of L -matrices

In the form of Eq. (39) the L_{x_α} matrices are upper block triangular. This makes the computation of their powers a lot easier. L_{x_0} and L_{x_+} are even block diagonal, so we immediately get

$$L_{x_0}^n = \begin{pmatrix} B_{x_0}^n & 0 \\ 0 & B_{x_0}^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{x_+}^n = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-n} B_{x_+}^n & 0 \\ 0 & q^n B_{x_+}^n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

For the calculation of $L_{x_-}^n$ and $L_{x_{30}}^n$ we have to use the commutation relations (5) for the B_{x_α} matrices. We thus get by induction

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_-}^n &= \begin{pmatrix} q^n B_{x_-}^n & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(q^{n-1} \frac{[2n]}{[2]} B_{x_{30}} + [n] B_{x_0} \right) B_{x_-}^{n-1} \\ 0 & q^{-n} B_{x_-}^n \end{pmatrix} \\ L_{x_{30}}^n &= \begin{pmatrix} B_{x_{30}}^n & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{n-1} [n] B_{x_+} B_{x_{30}}^{n-1} \\ 0 & B_{x_{30}}^n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Now we have expressed the powers of L_{x_α} matrices in terms of powers of the B_{x_α} matrices. It remains to calculate the powers of the B_{x_α} -matrices. Since $B_{x_{30}}$ is diagonal we immediately get

$$B_{x_{30}}^n = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-n} x_{30}^n & 0 \\ 0 & q^n x_{30}^n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

For the powers of the matrices $B_{x_\pm}$, which are block triangular, we use again the commutation relations (5) to obtain by induction

$$\begin{aligned} B_{x_-}^n &= \begin{pmatrix} x_-^n & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda [2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{n-1} [n] x_{30} x_-^{n-1} \\ 0 & x_-^n \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{x_+}^n &= \begin{pmatrix} x_+^n & 0 \\ -q^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda [2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{1-n} [n] x_{30} x_+^{n-1} & x_+^n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The calculation of the powers of B_{x_0} is more difficult because it is not triangular. Using q -Pauli matrices (123), B_{x_0} of Eqs. (38) can be written more compactly as

$$B_{x_0} := \frac{[4]}{[2]^2} x_0 - \frac{\lambda}{[2]} \tilde{\sigma}_A x^A, \quad (44)$$

where the index A is summed over $\{-, 3, +\}$. The q -Pauli matrices satisfy the relation

$$\tilde{\sigma}_A \tilde{\sigma}_B = g_{BA} - \tilde{\sigma}_C \varepsilon_B^C{}_A, \quad (45)$$

where the quantum metric and epsilon tensor are defined by quantum Clebsch-Gordon coefficients as

$$\begin{aligned} g^{AB} &:= -\sqrt{[3]} C_q(1, 1, 0; A, B, 0) \\ \varepsilon^{AB}{}_C &:= -\sqrt{\frac{[4]}{[2]}} C_q(1, 1, 1; A, B, C). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Contracting Eq. (45) with $x^A x^B$ and using the commutation relations (5) of the coordinates, which can be written as $x_A x_B \varepsilon^{AB}{}_C = -\lambda x_C x_0$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_A \tilde{\sigma}_B x^A x^B &= (g_{BA} - \tilde{\sigma}_C \varepsilon_B{}^C{}_A) x^A x^B \\ &= x_A x^A + \lambda x_0 \tilde{\sigma}_A x^A. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

This can be used to compute the square of Eq. (44),

$$\begin{aligned} B_{x_0}^2 &= \frac{[4]^2}{[2]^2} (x_0)^2 - 2 \frac{\lambda[4]}{[2]^3} x_0 \tilde{\sigma}_A x^A + \frac{\lambda^2}{[2]^2} \tilde{\sigma}_A \tilde{\sigma}_B x^A x^B \\ &= \frac{[4]^2}{[2]^4} (x_0)^2 - 2 \frac{\lambda[4]}{[2]^3} x_0 \tilde{\sigma}_A x^A + \frac{\lambda^2}{[2]^2} (x_A x^A + \lambda x_0 \tilde{\sigma}_A x^A) \\ &= [2] x_0 B_{x_0} - (x_0)^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{[2]^2} x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Together with Eq. (40) we conclude that L_{x_0} satisfies the polynomial equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_{x_0}^2 - [2] x_0 L_{x_0} + \left((x_0)^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{[2]^2} x^2 \right) \\ &= L_{x_0}^2 - 2b L_{x_0} + c, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where we have introduced the abbreviations

$$b := \frac{[2]}{2} x_0, \quad c := (x_0)^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{[2]^2} x^2, \quad (50)$$

which are both in the center of $\mathcal{X}$. Eq. (49) enables us to derive formulas for arbitrary powers of L_{x_0} . This is most elegantly done by considering the generating function of the powers $(1 - L_{x_0} z)^{-1} = 1 + L_{x_0} z + L_{x_0}^2 z^2 + \dots$, where z is a formal parameter. First we rewrite (49) as

$$(1 - L_{x_0}^2 z^2) - 2bz(1 - L_{x_0} z) - (1 - 2bz + cz^2) = 0 \quad (51)$$

and divide it by $(1 - L_{x_0}z)$ and $(1 - 2bz + cz^2)$, yielding

$$\frac{1}{1 - L_{x_0}z} = \frac{1 + (L_{x_0} - 2b)z}{1 - 2bz + cz^2}. \quad (52)$$

The right hand side can be expanded in powers of z , observing that it contains the generating function for Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind,

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2yt + t^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(y)t^n, \quad (53)$$

where we have to set $y = b/\sqrt{c}$ and $t = \sqrt{c}z$. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0}^n &= U_n(b/\sqrt{c}) c^{\frac{n}{2}} + U_{n-1}(b/\sqrt{c}) c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (L_{x_0} - 2b) \\ &= -U_{n-2}(b/\sqrt{c}) c^{\frac{n}{2}} + U_{n-1}(b/\sqrt{c}) c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} L_{x_0} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

for $n \geq 2$. The fact that $U_n(y)$ is a polynomial of degree n which contains either only even or only odd powers of y implies that $U_n(1/y)y^n$ is a quadratic polynomial in y . Therefore, the right hand side of Eq. (54) contains only even positive powers of $\sqrt{c}$, that is, does not contain inverses or square roots of c and, hence, yields well-defined elements of the algebra $\mathcal{X}$. Together with Eqs. (40), (41), (42), and (43) this completes our calculation of powers of the L_{x_α} matrices.

3.4 Calculating derivatives

3.4.1 Choice of basis and partial Jackson derivatives

With the formulas for the powers of the L_α matrices the derivatives of arbitrary elements $f \in \mathcal{X}$ could be computed by calculating the derivatives of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of $\mathcal{X}$. However, it turns out to be more convenient to work in a slightly different basis. Observe that from definition (7) of the q -Lorentz invariant length and the commutation relations (5) we can deduce the relation

$$[2]x_-x_+ = x^2 + q^2(x_{30})^2 + q\lambda x_0x_{30}. \quad (55)$$

Using this relation, all products of equal powers of x_- and x_+ in the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis can be substituted by powers of x^2 . We thus obtain a

basis of ordered monomials which contains powers of x^2 and either powers of x_- or x_+ . To be more precise, we have a basis of $\mathcal{X}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_- \cup \mathcal{B}_+, \quad (56)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_- &:= \{(x_\mu x^\mu)^i (x_0)^j (x_{30})^k (x_-)^l \mid i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ \mathcal{B}_+ &:= \{(x_\mu x^\mu)^i (x_+)^l (x_0)^j (x_{30})^k \mid i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Note, that $\mathcal{B}_-$ and $\mathcal{B}_+$ have a non-empty intersection, the monomials for which $l = 0$. But this will not be a problem here. Let us introduce a more suggestive notion for sums of monomials in a particular order:

Definition 3. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be elements of the algebra of quantum Minkowski space. Then we will denote by $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ linear combinations of ordered products of monomials in $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$. That is,*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Span}\{(x_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x_n)^{k_n} \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (58)$$

With this notation the statement that $\mathcal{B}_- \cup \mathcal{B}_+$ is a basis of quantum Minkowski space can be written as

$$f(x_0, x_+, x_{30}, x_-) = f_-(x^2, x_0, x_{30}, x_-) + f_+(x^2, x_0, x_+, x_{30}), \quad (59)$$

decomposing an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{X}$ into two parts containing powers in either x_- or x_+ .

It is important to have a notation which keeps track of the ordering of generators because the formulas for derivatives are most elegantly expressed in terms of partial Jackson derivatives which depend on the ordering. Recall, that the Jackson derivative or q -derivative of a function $f = f(x_\alpha)$ in a single variable x_α is defined as difference quotient,

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_\alpha} := \frac{f(q^2 x_\alpha) - f(x_\alpha)}{q^2 x_\alpha - x_\alpha}. \quad (60)$$

For monomials $f(x_\alpha) = (x_\alpha)^k$, this yields

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2} (x_\alpha)^k}{\partial_{q^2} x_\alpha} = (k)_{q^2} (x_\alpha)^{k-1}, \quad (61)$$

where $(k)_{q^2}$ is the usual q -number defined in Eq. (4). This formula naturally generalizes to a partial Jackson derivative on monomials of several noncommutative variables.

Definition 4. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be elements of the algebra of quantum Minkowski space (or any other algebra). We define the partial Jackson derivative with respect to one of these elements x_α on ordered monomials by

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2}}{\partial_{q^2} x_\alpha} ((x_1)^{k_1} \dots (x_n)^{k_n}) := (k_\alpha)_{q^2} (x_1)^{k_1} \dots (x_\alpha)^{k_\alpha-1} \dots (x_n)^{k_n}, \quad (62)$$

and extend it linearly to arbitrary linear combinations of such monomials. This defines partial Jackson derivatives on general functions $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the sense of Definition 3.

It must be emphasized that the partial Jackson derivatives depend on the particular order and are not well-defined on the algebra. For example, within the algebra we have the commutation relation $x_{30}x_+ - q^2x_+x_{30} = 0$, but

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2}}{\partial_{q^2} x_+} (x_{30}x_+ - q^2x_+x_{30}) = x_{30} - q^2x_{30} \neq 0. \quad (63)$$

This is why we have defined the notation $f = f(x_+, x_{30})$ to not just denote elements of the algebra but to denote in addition a specific ordering of the variables. With this notation the action of partial Jackson derivatives is defined unambiguously.

We break up the calculation of derivatives in two steps. First, we calculate the derivatives of non-central variables, x_- , x_{30} , x_+ . Working within the basis $\mathcal{B}$ we can limit the considerations in this first step to polynomial functions $f = f(x_{30}, x_-)$ and $f = f(x_+, x_{30})$ which depend either on x_- or on x_+ . This calculation is rather straightforward. In a second step we consider polynomial functions $f = f(x^2, x_0)$ of the center of $\mathcal{X}$. This calculation is much more involved.

3.4.2 Functions of non-central coordinates

We begin the calculation of the derivatives of the basis $\mathcal{B}$ by reading off Eqs. (38) and (39) that the L_α matrices possess some algebra valued eigenvalues,

$$\begin{aligned} L_A \nabla x_A &= q x_A \nabla x_A \\ L_{30} \nabla x_- &= q^{-1} x_{30} \nabla x_- \\ L_+ \nabla x_{30} &= q^{-1} x_+ \nabla x_{30}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

for $A \in \{-, +, 3 \setminus 0\}$ (no summation over A). We recall that ∇x_α is the index free notation for $(\nabla x_\alpha)^\mu = \partial^\mu \triangleright x_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\mu$.

Inserting the first of these eigenvalue equations into Eq. (30) we obtain for powers of the generators

$$\nabla x_A^n = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{2k} x_A^k (\nabla x_\alpha) x_\alpha^{n-k-1} = (n)_{q^2} x_A^{n-1} \nabla x_\alpha, \quad (65)$$

for $A \in \{-, +, 3 \setminus 0\}$, where $(n)_{q^2}$ denotes the quantum number (4). From Eq. (65) we can deduce that the derivative of any polynomial $f = f(x_A)$ in a single one of the generators x_A can be expressed in terms of the Jackson derivative by

$$\nabla f(x_A) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_A} \nabla x_A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial^\mu \triangleright f(x_A) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_A} \delta_A^\mu. \quad (66)$$

Using the second of Eqs. (64) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(x_{30}^k x_-^n) &= (\nabla x_{30}^k) x_-^n + q^k L_{30}^k (\nabla x_-^n) \\ &= (k)_{q^2} x_{30}^{k-1} x_-^n \nabla x_{30} + x_{30}^k (n)_{q^2} x_-^{n-1} \nabla x_-, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

and analogously for the derivative of $x_+^k x_{30}^n$,

$$\nabla(x_+^k x_{30}^n) = (k)_{q^2} x_+^{k-1} x_{30}^n \nabla x_+ + x_+^k (n)_{q^2} x_{30}^{n-1} \nabla x_{30}. \quad (68)$$

From Eqs. (67) and (68) we can deduce the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let $f = f(x_{30}, x_-)$ and $f = f(x_+, x_{30})$ be ordered polynomials in the notation of Definition 3. Then their partial derivatives are expressed in terms of partial Jackson derivatives as*

$$\nabla f(x_{30}, x_-) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} \nabla x_{30} + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_-} \nabla x_- \quad (69a)$$

$$\nabla f(x_+, x_{30}) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_+} \nabla x_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} \nabla x_{30}. \quad (69b)$$

3.4.3 Functions of four-length

Next, we calculate the derivative of powers of the coordinate four-square x^2 . Since x^2 is a Lorentz scalar the L -matrix acts with the antipode ε ,

$$L^\mu{}_\nu \triangleright x^2 = \varepsilon(L^\mu{}_\nu) x^2 = \delta_\nu^\mu x^2. \quad (70)$$

By the same reasoning which led to Eq. (30) we obtain for powers of the four-square

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla(x^2)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{2k}(x^2)^{n-1} \nabla x^2 \\ &= (n)_{q^2}(x^2)^{n-1} \nabla x^2.\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

Again, for a general function $f = f(x^2)$ this can be written in terms of a Jackson derivative by

$$\nabla f(x^2) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2}(x^2)} \nabla x^2.\tag{72}$$

It remains to compute the derivative of x^2 , which for representation theoretic reasons we expect to be proportional to the coordinate four vector x^μ . After lengthy calculations we, indeed, find

$$(\nabla x^2)^\mu = q^{-1}[2]x^\mu.\tag{73}$$

3.4.4 Functions of time

Like the calculation of powers of L_{x_0} , the calculation of derivatives of powers of the time coordinate is more difficult. In order to calculate the derivative of $(x_0)^n$ we first observe that, since x_0 is central in the space algebra we can write Eq. (30) in the form

$$\nabla x_0^n = \frac{(qL_{x_0})^n - (x_0)^n}{qL_{x_0} - x_0} \nabla x_0,\tag{74}$$

which is similar to a q -difference quotient. We can get rid of the matrix in the denominator,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(qL_{x_0})^n - (x_0)^n}{qL_{x_0} - x_0} &= \frac{(q^n L_{x_0}^n - x_0^n)(q^{-1}L_{x_0} - x_0)}{(qL_{x_0} - x_0)(q^{-1}L_{x_0} - x_0)} \\ &= -\frac{[2](q^{n-1}L_{x_0}^{n+1} - q^n L_{x_0}^n x_0 - q^{-1}L_{x_0} x_0^n - x_0^{n+1})}{\lambda^2 x^2},\end{aligned}\tag{75}$$

where in the second step we have used Eq. (49). The powers of L_{x_0} in the numerator have been calculated in Eq. (54). From explicit expression (32) of L_{x_0} we deduce

$$(L_{x_0} \nabla x_0)^\mu = qx_0 \delta_0^\mu - \frac{\lambda}{q[2]} x^\mu.\tag{76}$$

Putting things together we obtain

$$(\nabla x_0^n)^\mu = \frac{[2]}{\lambda} \left(\frac{U_n c^{\frac{n}{2}} q^{n-2} - U_{n-1} c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} q^{n-1} x_0 - x_0^n}{x^2} \right) x^\mu + U_{n-1} c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} q^{n-1} \delta_0^\mu, \quad (77)$$

where $U_n = U_n(b/\sqrt{c})$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind with the same argument as in Eq. (54).

In order to generalize the formulas to general functions $f = f(x_0)$ we first note that we can deduce from Eq. (74)

$$\nabla f(x_0) = \frac{f(qL_{x_0}) - f(x_0)}{qL_{x_0} - x_0} \nabla x_0, \quad (78)$$

which could be viewed as matrix valued generalization of the Jackson derivative. In order to compute this expression we need to generalize formula (54) for the powers of L_{x_0} to general functions. The result is given by:

Proposition 2. *Let L_{x_0} be the $\mathcal{X}$ -valued 4×4 -matrix given by Eq. (32). Let b and c be defined as in Eq. (50). Define*

$$\tau_\pm := b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c} = \frac{1}{2} \left([2]x_0 \pm \lambda \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2}(x^2)} \right), \quad (79)$$

and

$$\Pi_\pm := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{L_{x_0} - b}{\sqrt{b^2 - c}} \right). \quad (80)$$

Then for any polynomial function f in one variable we have

$$f(L_{x_0}) = f(\tau_+) \Pi_+ + f(\tau_-) \Pi_- . \quad (81)$$

Proof. First, we note that Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind can be written as

$$U_{n-1}(x) = \frac{(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n - (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1})^n}{2\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}. \quad (82)$$

For $x = b/\sqrt{c}$ this identity takes the form

$$U_{n-1}(b/\sqrt{c}) c^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \frac{\tau_+^n - \tau_-^n}{\tau_+ - \tau_-}, \quad (83)$$

with $\tau_{\pm}$ defined as in the proposition. Inserting (83) into (54) yields for an arbitrary function

$$f(L_{x_0}) = \frac{f(\tau_+) - f(\tau_-)}{\tau_+ - \tau_-}(L_{x_0} - b) + \frac{1}{2}(f(\tau_+) + f(\tau_-)), \quad (84)$$

which can be written using $\Pi_{\pm}$ in the form of Eq. (81). $\square$

The $\mathcal{X}$ -valued matrices $\Pi_{\pm}$ arise here naturally because they are orthogonal, complementary idempotents,

$$\Pi_{\pm}^2 = \Pi_{\pm}, \quad \Pi_+ \Pi_- = 0 = \Pi_- \Pi_+, \quad \Pi_+ + \Pi_- = 1. \quad (85)$$

This property ensures that Eq. (81) is consistent with the algebra structure of functions, because it can be immediately verified that $(fg)(L_{x_0}) = f(L_{x_0})g(L_{x_0})$.

We can now insert Eq. (84) into Eq. (78) to obtain

$$\nabla f(x_0) = \frac{f(q\tau_+) - f(x_0)}{q\tau_+ - x_0} \Pi_+ \nabla x_0 + \frac{f(q\tau_-) - f(x_0)}{q\tau_- - x_0} \Pi_- \nabla x_0 \quad (86)$$

for the derivative of an arbitrary function of the time coordinate. For this formula to be explicit it remains to calculate

$$(\Pi_{\pm} \nabla x_0)^{\mu} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\pm x_0 + \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2} (x^2)} \right) \delta_0^{\mu} \mp \frac{1}{q[2]} x^{\mu}}{\sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2} (x^2)}}. \quad (87)$$

Finally, we can combine the previous result (72) for the derivative of functions of the four-length $x^2 = x_{\mu} x^{\mu}$ and (86) for the derivative of functions of the time coordinate x_0 into a formula for functions $f = f(x^2, x_0)$ of both variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(x^2, x_0) &= \frac{f(q^2 x^2, x_0) - f(x^2, x_0)}{q^2 x^2 - x^2} \nabla x^2 \\ &+ \frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_+) - f(q^2 x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_+ - x_0} \Pi_+ \nabla x_0 \\ &+ \frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_-) - f(q^2 x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_- - x_0} \Pi_- \nabla x_0. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

This formula can be further simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(x^2, x_0) = & \frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_+) - f(x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_+ - x_0} \Pi_+ \nabla x_0 \\ & + \frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_-) - f(x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_- - x_0} \Pi_- \nabla x_0 .\end{aligned}\quad (89)$$

3.4.5 Separation of variables

We have seen that the derivatives of functions of $x_\pm$, x_{30} , and x^2 can be written in terms of Jackson q -derivatives. Derivatives of functions of the time coordinate, however, do not have this property but depend on the four-length x^2 , as well. In the language of partial differential equations, the partial derivative ∂^μ is not separated with respect to the standard time coordinate of quantum Minkowski space. We will now show that there is a remarkable non-linear transformation of coordinates such that the partial derivatives are separated in the new coordinates.

These new coordinates $\xi_\pm = \xi_\pm(x^2, x_0)$ are given by

$$\xi_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_0 \pm \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2}(x^2)} \right) . \quad (90)$$

In terms of the new variables $\tau_\pm$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+ &= q\xi_+ + q^{-1}\xi_- \\ \tau_- &= q^{-1}\xi_+ + q\xi_- .\end{aligned}\quad (91)$$

The back transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= \xi_+ + \xi_- \\ x^2 &= [2]^2 \xi_+ \xi_- .\end{aligned}\quad (92)$$

A function $f = f(x^2, x_0)$ is expressed in terms of the new variables by the transformed function

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(\xi_+, \xi_-) &:= f(x^2(\xi_+, \xi_-), x_0(\xi_+, \xi_-)) \\ &= f([2]^2 \xi_+ \xi_-, \xi_+ + \xi_-),\end{aligned}\quad (93)$$

for which the quotients of Eq. (89) take the form of Jackson derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_+) - f(x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_+ - x_0} &= \frac{\tilde{f}(q^2 \xi_+, \xi_-) - \tilde{f}(\xi_+, \xi_-)}{q^2 \xi_+ - \xi_+} \\ \frac{f(q^2 x^2, q\tau_-) - f(x^2, x_0)}{q\tau_- - x_0} &= \frac{\tilde{f}(\xi_+, q^2 \xi_-) - \tilde{f}(\xi_+, \xi_-)}{q^2 \xi_- - \xi_-} .\end{aligned}\quad (94)$$

In order to completely rewrite Eq. (89) in q -derivative form we still have to rewrite the expressions $\Pi_{\pm}\nabla x_0$. Towards this end, we must understand on what the projection operators $\Pi_{\pm}$ actually project.

Key to understanding the operators $\Pi_{\pm}$ is the calculation of the derivatives of the new coordinates viewed as functions of x^2 and x_0 . Inserting the functions $\xi_{\pm} = \xi_{\pm}(x^2, x_0)$ into formula (89) and using the formula

$$\sqrt{\tau_{\pm}^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2}(x^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\pm \lambda x_0 + [2] \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2}(x^2)} \right), \quad (95)$$

we obtain after long calculations the compact result

$$\nabla \xi_{\pm} = \Pi_{\pm} \nabla x_0. \quad (96)$$

In this sense $\Pi_{\pm}$ can be viewed as generalized Jacobian of the coordinate transformation (90). We thus arrive at the main result of this paper:

Proposition 3. *Let $f = f(\xi_+, \xi_-)$ be a general ordered polynomial function in $\xi_{\pm}$. Then*

$$\nabla f(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_+} \nabla \xi_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_-} \nabla \xi_-. \quad (97)$$

3.4.6 General functions

Finally, we can combine this result with formulas (69) for derivations of functions of the non-central variables. A general polynomial in $\mathcal{X}$ can be written as a sum of products of functions $g = f(\xi_+, \xi_-)$ and $h = h_+(x_+, x_{30}) + h(x_{30}, x_-)$. Using the coproduct (18) we obtain for the derivative of the product

$$\nabla(fg) = (\nabla f)g + (\kappa L \triangleright f) \nabla g, \quad (98)$$

where we can derive from Proposition 2 the formula

$$\kappa L \triangleright g(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \Pi_+ g(q^2 \xi_+, \xi_-) + \Pi_- g(\xi_+, q^2 \xi_-). \quad (99)$$

Inserting Eq. (99) into (98) we have to observe that $\Pi_{\pm}$ does not commute with the non-central observables. In order to write down the end result, we first define for a general linear combination of the basis $\mathcal{B}$ the matrix valued object

$$\delta f := \Pi_+ (f|_{\xi_+ \mapsto q^2 \xi_+} - f) + \Pi_- (f|_{\xi_- \mapsto q^2 \xi_-} - f). \quad (100)$$

This definition does not depend on the ordering because ξ_+ and ξ_- are both central. Putting things together we obtain for a general $f \in \text{Span } \mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f &= \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_+} \nabla \xi_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_-} \nabla \xi_- \\ &+ \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_+} \nabla x_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} \nabla x_{30} + \frac{\partial_{q^2} f}{\partial_{q^2} x_-} \nabla x_- \\ &+ \frac{\partial_{q^2}(\delta f)}{\partial_{q^2} x_+} \nabla x_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2}(\delta f)}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} \nabla x_{30} + \frac{\partial_{q^2}(\delta f)}{\partial_{q^2} x_-} \nabla x_- . \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The first two lines look like a generalized chain rule with partial Jackson derivatives as outer derivatives. The partial Jackson derivatives in the last line are to be understood not to act on the projections $\Pi_{\pm}$ contained in δf . By definition of δf the last line vanishes for $q \rightarrow 1$ and the first two lines reproduce the usual chain rule.

4 Solutions of quantum wave equations

In order to give an application of the separation of variables and the formulas for derivations, let us now come back to the initial problem of calculating solutions of the quantum Klein-Gordon equation (2). It can be shown that, just as in the commutative case, the space of solutions is generated as representation of the quantum algebra by a momentum eigenstate [12]. However, unlike in the commutative case, there is only a finite number of such momentum eigenstates. In the massless case it is the light cone state, defined by

$$p_0 \triangleright \psi = k\psi, \quad p_3 \triangleright \psi = k\psi, \quad p_{\pm} \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad (102)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is related to the helicity. In the massive case it is the rest state

$$p_0 \triangleright \psi = m\psi, \quad p_3 \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad p_{\pm} \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad (103)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is the mass. The difficult part is to calculate these states within the noncommutative differential calculus as solutions of quantum differential equations. All other solutions of the quantum Klein-Gordon equation can then be obtained by quantum Lorentz transformations, which are well known and straightforward to calculate.

4.1 The massless case

Let us start with the massless case which turns out to be quite simple. As q -differential equation Eq. (102) reads

$$\partial^0 \triangleright \psi = ik\psi, \quad \partial^3 \triangleright \psi = -ik\psi, \quad \partial^\pm \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad (104)$$

where we have raised the indices with the q -Minkowski metric, e.g., $\partial^3 = -\partial_3$. Using the coordinate free notation and the lightcone coordinate x_{30} to be a function of the light cone coordinate $x_{30} = x_3 - x_0$, we can write Eq. (104) as

$$\nabla \psi = -ik\psi \nabla x_{30}. \quad (105)$$

Since x_{30} is one of the variables separating the noncommutative differential calculus, we can conclude that (105) can be solved by a function $\psi = \psi(x_{30})$ of x_{30} alone. Using the formulas (69) for the derivatives we obtain

$$\nabla \psi(x_{30}) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} \nabla x_{30} = -ik\psi \nabla x_{30}, \quad (106)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} x_{30}} = -ik\psi(x_{30}). \quad (107)$$

This equation is solved by the well-known q -exponential function

$$\exp_q(z) \equiv e_q^z := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n)_{q^2}!}, \quad (108)$$

where the q -factorial is defined in the obvious way as

$$(n)_{q^2}! = (n)_{q^2} (n-1)_{q^2} \cdots (1)_{q^2}. \quad (109)$$

With the q -exponential the general solution of Eq. (107) and, hence, of Eq. (104) can be written as

$$\psi = C e_q^{-ik(x_3 - x_0)}, \quad (110)$$

where C is a normalization constant.

4.2 The massive case

Let us now turn to the massive case where calculation of the rest state is more involved. As q -differential function the defining eigenvalue equation (103) reads

$$\partial^0 \triangleright \psi = im\psi, \quad \partial^A \triangleright \psi = 0, \quad (111)$$

where the index runs through $A = -, 3, +$. In index free notation we can write this as

$$\nabla \psi = im\psi \nabla x_0. \quad (112)$$

Since the time coordinate x_0 is not one of the variables which separates the noncommutative differential calculus, we cannot conclude that Eq. (112) can be solved by a function in x_0 alone. Since the eigenvalue equation is invariant with respect to quantum rotations we can conclude, though, that ψ must be a function of the scalars with respect to quantum rotations x_0 and x^2 . In order to solve Eq. (112) we have to separate it with the separating coordinates ξ_- and ξ_+ . Using Eq. (97) and $x_0 = \xi_- + \xi_+$ we obtain

$$\nabla \psi(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_+} \nabla \xi_+ + \frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_-} \nabla \xi_- = im(\psi \nabla \xi_+ + \psi \nabla \xi_-), \quad (113)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_+} - im\psi \right) \nabla \xi_+ + \left(\frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_-} - im\psi \right) \nabla \xi_- = 0. \quad (114)$$

The differential equation is now separated so we can make the ansatz

$$\psi(\xi_+, \xi_-) = \psi_+(\xi_+) \psi_-(\xi_-), \quad (115)$$

which solves Eq. (114) if

$$\frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi_+}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_+} = im\psi_+, \quad \frac{\partial_{q^2} \psi_-}{\partial_{q^2} \xi_-} = im\psi_-. \quad (116)$$

We see that the separation of variables of the differential calculus by the new coordinates $\xi_{\pm}$ leads to a complete separation of the wave equation. Eqs. (116) are again solved by the q -exponential function (108). As end result we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= C e_q^{im\xi_+} e_q^{im\xi_-} \\ &= C e_q^{\frac{im}{2} \left(x_0 + \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2} (x^2)} \right)} e_q^{\frac{im}{2} \left(x_0 - \sqrt{(x_0)^2 - \frac{4}{[2]^2} (x^2)} \right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

where C is a normalization constant. This rest state is a solution of the massive quantum Klein-Gordon equation (2). All other solutions can be obtained from this one by quantum Lorentz transformations [31].

5 Conclusion

The separation of variables (97) by a nonlinear coordinate transformation (90) seems to be the most intriguing result presented in this paper. We do not yet understand on a fundamental level what property of quantum Minkowski space is responsible for the existence of such a transformation. Nor do we know if and how this can be generalized to other quantum spaces.

In definition (90) of the separating variables a square root expression appears which, strictly speaking, is not an element of the algebra of Minkowski space proper. In order to make all statements completely rigorous we have to enlarge $\mathcal{X}$ by a central square root element α satisfying

$$\alpha^2 = [2]^2(x_0)^2 - 4(x^2). \quad (118)$$

Alternatively, one could think of the coordinates being represented by operators on a Hilbert space as in [10]. In this case the square root would be defined through functional calculus for normal operators. In the expansion of solution (117) of the quantum Klein-Gordon equation the square root drops out. There, it can be seen as an auxiliary object which makes the notation of a certain generating function more compact. Anyway, it is clear that this mathematical subtlety does not affect the results of this paper.

Finally, we would like to note that expressions for derivatives within the noncommutative calculus can also be derived in a straightforward manner using the recursion relations defined by the commutation relations of momenta and coordinates. While this approach circumvents to some degree the mathematical machinery we have introduced here, it produces lengthy formulas which do not give the structural insight desirable for solving noncommutative differential equations. Even when free field equation can be solved by brute force, using computer algebra for example, the results turn to be quite complicated. But if already something as basic as the wave function of a free particle were described by complicated expressions, some fundamental questions of quantum field theory, such as independence of in and out states, would become very hard to address. In this respect we believe results of the type presented here to be not just a matter of computational convenience

but an indispensable requirement for the further development of quantum theory on quantum Minkowski space.

A Basic definitions and formulas

Definition 5. *The Hopf $*$ -algebra generated by E , F , K , and K^{-1} with relations*

$$\begin{aligned} KK^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1}K, & KEK^{-1} &= q^2E, \\ KFK^{-1} &= q^{-2}F, & [E, F] &= \lambda^{-1}(K - K^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

Hopf structure

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &= E \otimes K + 1 \otimes E, & \Delta(F) &= F \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes F, \\ \Delta(K) &= K \otimes K, & \varepsilon(E) &= 0 = \varepsilon(F), & \varepsilon(K) &= 1, \\ S(E) &= -EK^{-1}, & S(F) &= -KF, & S(K) &= K^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

and $$ -structure*

$$E^* = FK, F^* = K^{-1}E, K^* = K \quad (121)$$

is called $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$, the q -deformation of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ [32, 33].

Another useful set of generators is given by angular momentum vector $\{J_A\} = \{J_-, J_3, J_+\}$ which is a vector operator with respect to the Hopf adjoint action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ on itself [12],

$$\begin{aligned} J_- &:= q[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}KF, \\ J_3 &:= [2]^{-1}(q^{-1}EF - qFE), \\ J_+ &:= -[2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}E. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the antipode of this vector operator yields by $\tilde{\sigma}_A := -[2]\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(SJ_A)$ a variant of the q -Pauli matrices which we will need here. Explicitly, we obtain

$$\tilde{\sigma}_- = [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\sigma}_+ = [2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -q^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \quad (123)$$

with respect to the $\{-, +\}$ basis. $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ is quasitriangular with universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix [34]

$$\mathcal{R} = e^{\hbar(H \otimes H)/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\hbar n(n-1)/2} \frac{(e^{\hbar} - e^{-\hbar})^n}{[n]!} (E^n \otimes F^n), \quad (124)$$

where $\hbar := \ln q$. The quantum Lorentz algebra contains a $SU_q(2)^{\text{op}}$ Hopf sub-algebra [30], the generators of which are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ b &:= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}} E \\ c &:= -q^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda F K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ d &:= K^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^2 F K^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes K^{-\frac{1}{2}} E. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Acknowledgements

The work of F.B. was supported by a PhD fellowship of the Max-Planck Society. C.B. was supported by the European Union with an outgoing international Marie-Curie fellowship under contract MOIF-CT-2005-8559.

References

- [1] W. Heisenberg, “Über die in der Theorie der Elementarteilchen auftretende universelle Länge,” *Ann. Phys.* **32** (1938) 20–33.
- [2] S. Minwalla, M. Van Raamsdonk, and N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative perturbative dynamics,” *JHEP* **02** (2000) 020, [hep-th/9912072](#).
- [3] A. Matusis, L. Susskind, and N. Toumbas, “The IR/UV connection in the non-commutative gauge theories,” *JHEP* **12** (2000) 002, [hep-th/0002075](#).
- [4] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “Renormalisation of ϕ^4 -Theory on Non-Commutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ to All Orders,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **71** (2005) 13–26.
- [5] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl, and S. Watamura, “Tensor Representation of the Quantum Group $SL_q(2)$ and Quantum Minkowski Space,” *Z. Phys.* **C48** (1990) 159–166.
- [6] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, and J. E. Roberts, “The Quantum structure of space-time at the Planck scale and quantum fields,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **172** (1995) 187–220, [hep-th/0303037](#).

- [7] O. Ogievetskii, W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, “ q -Deformed Poincaré algebra,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992) 495.
- [8] L. D. Faddeev, N. Y. Reshetikhin, and L. A. Takhtajan, “Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras,” *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990) 193–225.
- [9] S. Majid, “Braided momentum in the q -Poincare group,” *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 2045–2058, [hep-th/9210141](#).
- [10] B. L. Cerchiai and J. Wess, “ q -Deformed Minkowski Space based on a q -Lorentz Algebra,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C5** (1998) 553–566, [math.qa/9801104](#).
- [11] C. Blohmann, “Spin in the q -Deformed Poincaré Algebra,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **243** (2003) 329–342, [math.qa/0111008](#).
- [12] C. Blohmann, “Free q -Deformed Relativistic Wave Equations by Representation Theory,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C30** (2003) 435–445, [hep-th/0111172](#).
- [13] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, “Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C16** (2000) 161–167, [hep-th/0001203](#).
- [14] B. Jurco, L. Moller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, “Construction of non-Abelian gauge theories on noncommutative spaces,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C21** (2001) 383–388, [hep-th/0104153](#).
- [15] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [16] G. Fiore and P. Schupp, “Identical particles and quantum symmetries,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B470** (1996) 211–235, [hep-th/9508047](#).
- [17] V. K. Dobrev, “New q -Minkowski space-time and q -Maxwell equations hierarchy from q -conformal invariance,” *Phys. Lett.* **B341** (1994) 133–138.
- [18] A. Schirmacher, “Quantum groups, quantum space-time, and Dirac equation,”. Talk given at NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Low Dimensional Topology and Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge, England, 6-13 Sep 1992.

- [19] M. Pillin, “ q -deformed relativistic wave equations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 2804–2817, [hep-th/9310097](#).
- [20] X.-C. Song, “Covariant differential calculus on quantum Minkowski space and the q -analog of Dirac equation,” *Z. Phys.* **C55** (1992) 417–422.
- [21] U. Meyer, “Wave equations on q -Minkowski space,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **174** (1995) 457–476, [hep-th/9404054](#).
- [22] P. Podles, “Solutions of Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations on quantum Minkowski spaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **181** (1996) 569–586, [q-alg/9510019](#).
- [23] S. L. Woronowicz, “Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups),” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989) 125–170.
- [24] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Covariant Differential Calculus on the Quantum Hyperplane,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **18B** (1991) 302–312.
- [25] A. Klymuk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*. Springer, 1997.
- [26] C. Bauer and H. Wachter, “Operator Representations on Quantum Spaces,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C31** (2003) 261–275, [math-ph/0201023](#).
- [27] W. B. Schmidke, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, “A q -deformed Lorentz algebra,” *Z. Phys.* **C52** (1991) 471.
- [28] A. Lorek, W. Weich, and J. Wess, “Non-commutative Euclidean and Minkowski structures,” *Z. Phys.* **C76** (1997) 375.
- [29] M. Rohregger and J. Wess, “ q -deformed Lorentz-algebra in Minkowski phase space,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C7** (1999), no. 1, 177–183.
- [30] P. Podles and S. L. Woronowicz, “Quantum deformation of Lorentz group,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **130** (1990) 381.
- [31] F. Bachmaier, *The free particle on q -Minkowski space*. PhD thesis, LMU Munich, Faculty of Physics, 2004.
<http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bvb:19-19176>.

- [32] P. P. Kulish and N. Y. Reshetikhin, “Quantum linear problem for the Sine-Gordon equation and higher representations,” *J. Sov. Math.* **23** (1983) 2435–2441.
- [33] E. K. Sklyanin, “On an Algebra Generated by Quadratic Relations,” *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **40** (1985) 214.
- [34] V. G. Drinfeld, “Quantum Groups,” in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, A. M. Gleason, ed., pp. 798–820. Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.